



Instrumental Variables 2-Stage Least Squares

Research Snippets
American Taxation Association
Mid-Year Meeting

Jennifer Blouin
The Wharton School



Economic Issues

- Omitted Correlated Variables

- Endogeneity

- Which way is the relation?
- Debt levels = $f(\text{Marginal tax rates})$

OR

Marginal tax rates = $f(\text{Debt levels})$

- Errors in Variables

- Firm Value = $f(\text{Economic Earnings})$

But we don't observe economic earnings but rather accounting earnings, so

accounting earnings = economic earnings + error



Endogeneity

What is the basic issue?

○ Which way is the relation?

$$y = \beta x + \varepsilon$$

OR

$$x = \phi y + v$$



Endogeneity

- Instrumental Variables (IV) estimation is used when your model has endogenous x 's
- That is, whenever $\text{Cov}(x, \varepsilon) \neq 0$
- To tackle this issue, we attempt to identify an instrument

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon$$

$$x = \pi_0 + \pi_1 z + v$$



Choosing an instrument

- In order for a variable, z , to serve as a valid instrument for x , the following must be true
 - The instrument must be exogenous
 - That is, $\text{Cov}(z, \varepsilon) = 0$
 - The instrument must be correlated with the endogenous variable x
 - That is, $\text{Cov}(z, x) \neq 0$



Choosing an instrument

- We have to use common sense and economic theory to decide if it makes sense to assume $\text{Cov}(z, \varepsilon) = 0$
- We can test if $\text{Cov}(z, x) \neq 0$
 - Just testing $H_0: \pi_1 = 0$ in $x = \pi_0 + \pi_1 Z + V$
 - Often refer to this regression as the first-stage regression



IV estimation

- For $y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \varepsilon$, and given our assumptions
- $\text{Cov}(z, y) = \beta_1 \text{Cov}(z, x) + \text{Cov}(z, \varepsilon)$, so
- $\beta_1 = \text{Cov}(z, y) / \text{Cov}(z, x)$
- Then the IV estimator for β_1 is

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sum (z_i - \bar{z})(x_i - \bar{x})}$$



IV versus OLS

- IV is consistent, while OLS is inconsistent, when $\text{Cov}(x, \varepsilon) \neq 0$
- The stronger the correlation between z and x , the smaller the IV standard errors



The potential pitfalls

- What if $\text{Cov}(z, \varepsilon) = 0$ is true but that z has weak explanatory power for x ?
 - Standard error in IV case differs from OLS only in the R^2 from regressing x on z
 - Since $R^2 < 1$, IV standard errors are larger
 - Example: if x and z have a correlation of only 25%, then the IV std error will be larger than the OLS std error by a factor of 2 ($= \sqrt{1/0.25}$)



The potential pitfalls con't

- What if our assumption that $\text{Cov}(z, \varepsilon) = 0$ is false?
 - The IV estimator will be inconsistent, too
 - We Can compare asymptotic bias in OLS and IV
 - Prefer IV if $\text{Corr}(z, \varepsilon)/\text{Corr}(z, x) < \text{Corr}(x, \varepsilon)$

$$\text{IV: } \text{plim} \hat{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \frac{\text{Corr}(z, \varepsilon)}{\text{Corr}(z, x)} \bullet \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon}{\sigma_x}$$

$$\text{OLS: } \text{plim} \tilde{\beta}_1 = \beta_1 + \text{Corr}(x, \varepsilon) \bullet \frac{\sigma_\varepsilon}{\sigma_x}$$



Testing for endogeneity

- Since OLS is preferred to IV if we do not have an endogeneity problem, then we'd like to be able to test for endogeneity
- If we do not have endogeneity, both OLS and IV are consistent
- Idea of Hausman test is to see if the estimates from OLS and IV are different



Testing for endogeneity

- If x is endogenous, then estimate \hat{x} (from the reduced-form equation – 1st stage).
- If β_2 is significant, then you can reject the null of no endogeneity

$$y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x + \beta_2 \hat{x} + \varepsilon$$



Errors in variables

- Remember the classical errors-in-variables problem is where we observe x (accounting earnings) instead of x^* (economic earnings)
- It leads to coefficient estimates that are biased towards zero
- Where $x = x^* + e$, and e is uncorrelated with x^*
 - If there is a z , such that $\text{Corr}(z, e) = 0$ and $\text{Corr}(z, x^*) \neq 0$, then the IV will eliminate the attenuation bias



Summary

- The IV approach can be useful in mitigating econometric problems only if the instruments are carefully identified.
- The incorrect instruments create bias that will lead to inappropriate inferences.
- See Larcker and Rusticus (2005)