EDITOR'S NOTEBOOK

Art Buchwald pokes fun at it, H&R Block profits from it and graduate programs prosper from the study of it. It, of course, is income and other types of taxes.

Myron Lubell and Barry Broden provided us with valuable information in their January, 1975, ACCOUNTING REVIEW article, "The Master's Degree in Taxation: An Academic Survey." Then, Vic Tidwell and Bob Wynnelt combined to describe "Graduate Tax Education in AACSB Schools: Where We Stand Today" (ACCOUNTING REVIEW, October 1977). And "The Master's Degree in Taxation: An Academic Explosion" (Lubell and Broden's paper at the 1978 Southeastern AAA Regional Convention) makes it evident that the "orphan of accounting education" (to use Ray Sommerfeld's 1966 description) has grown into a contributing and robust member of the household.

This issue of the ATA Newsletter has graduate education in taxation as its special focus. Steve Dilley and Gene Seago offer us an interesting overview of a noteworthy symposium. Charlie Calhoun's approach to teaching taxes to MBA students provides readers with some potentially useful ideas. The DePaul University M.S.T., one of the pioneer programs, is included by Ed Foth. And the usual Doctoral Research in Taxation is included.

In future issues, we hope to feature other tax programs, different approaches to teaching tax and other information that will help keep you abreast of what's occurring in tax education. Another theme that we will pursue is the remarkable growth in the availability of tax textbooks. We've already contacted users of several textbooks and are in the process of gathering their experiences that will be shared with you through the Newsletter.

— Ken Milani

TEACHING THE MBA INTRODUCTION TO TAX COURSE: SOME PERSONAL OBSERVATIONS & SYLLABUS

Charles H. Calhoun
University of Miami

This is, I suppose, in some fashion a follow-up to the survey report of Jim Hasselback and Marlon Posey that appeared in the Fall issue of the ATA newsletter. Rather than dealing with the types of questions they addressed, I would like to discuss teaching aspects. The results I have had and the opinions I have formed while teaching this type of course.

I have had the benefit of teaching the course at two institutions (South Carolina and Miami) and have used three different texts (Raby, 3rd Edition; Sommerfeld-Irwin, '74 Edition; and Sommerfeld, Anderson, and Brock, '78 Edition). Each class has been somewhat different in makeup, each used a different text, and as a result I feel I also have been educated through this "experimentation process."

The reason for mentioning the background of the class is because I have found that unless one is careful, what is intended to be an introductory course for MBAs, can wind up as a more specialized course for graduate accounting majors. This usually results in having to more or less abandon the selected text, the creation of many special projects, and the ultimate restructuring of the course to a set of topics, research course for Master of Accountancy students. The unaware MBA student who finds himself as a minority in this type class is usually not only

V-P FORD DIRECTS ATA ATTENTION TO 1979-80

It is time to start making plans for the 1979-80 year with respect to ATA activities. Do you have ideas about activities, projects, etc., which would be appropriate for ATA during the upcoming year? Do you wish to serve on an ATA committee during the 1979-80 year? If you do have ideas about possible ATA activities or would like to serve on a committee, please contact: Allen Ford, School of Business, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66045 (913-864-4500).

PROFILE

At the annual ATA meeting last August, Boyd C. Randall was elected to the office of Secretary-Treasurer. Boyd is an Associate Professor in the Institute of Professional Accountancy at Brigham Young University (BYU).

The University of Minnesota (Ph.D.) and the University of Utah (B.S., M.B.A. and J.D.) are Boyd's alma maters. In addition, he served both universities as a member of the faculty (i.e., Visiting Professor at Minnesota and Teaching Assistant at Utah). A two-year stint as an Assistant Professor at the University of Oregon preceded Boyd's move to his current position at BYU.

Several journals have included articles written or co-authored by Boyd. Included in this list would be The Journal of Corporate Taxation, TAXES—The Tax Magazine, Taxation for Lawyers and the BYU Law Review.

Boyd was employed by Coopers & Lybrand for several years before beginning his academic career. Presently he is involved in instructing tax training courses for Peat, Marwick, Mitchell & Co., as well as the American Group of CPA Firms.
short-changed educationally, but perhaps “bankrupted” by the competition. Carefully controlling admission to this type course is a must if it is really to be an introductory tax course for MBAs.

Another major decision in arranging the course is the selection of texts. This is obviously left to the choice of the instructor and his selection will be based on his evaluation of the text material, its arrangement, and accompanying problems and cases. I shall not debate the merits or shortcomings of all of the possible textbook selections. However, I do feel strongly about two things:

1) the text should be as current as possible
2) any text selected should be supplemented

Students seem to have difficulty comprehending tax literature. The problem is compounded when the student is asked to use supplements to substitute for sections of the text that have become obsolete. Because of the frequent changes in tax law it is almost impossible to avoid this problem to some extent, but it should be minimized.

What is used to supplement the text is again a matter of instructor discretion. If the instructor desires students to seek more detailed explanations, he may require the Master-Tax Guide as a supplemental text for example. If he desires a more general, “current state of the arts,” approach, he may require readings from the Journal of Taxation, the Wall Street Journal, Newsweek, or any local newspaper. A combination of these is also possible, and probably desirable. The authors of certain texts have already developed a supplemental reading list. Again, the currency of these supplemental readings sometimes is in question.

There are at least three other points that I should mention in explaining my approach to teaching this type of course:

1) some exposure to tax research techniques is a must
2) individual (personal) tax topics should be avoided as much as possible
3) the use of tax practitioners as speakers is desirable

All of us know that many tax topics do not lend themselves to identification as purely business or purely personal in nature. The taxation of partnerships or Sub-Chapter S Corporations overlap the personal return, for example. Moving expenses, educational deductions, HR-10s or IRAs, or employee business expenses are other examples. However, such topics as personal medical expense deductions, or charitable contribution deductions should be avoided. This is not to say that such basic concepts as deductions for, or from, adjusted gross income should be entirely omitted, but they should be covered only as a part of the explanation of the overall concept of taxation.

The use of practitioners seems to have at least two valid points in its favor. The first is that people who deal daily with tax planning and related problems can often relate these “real world” problems and experiences in a better manner than can academicians. Secondly, their discussions seem to lend credibility to the course in the eyes of the student. Finding out what one is learning can actually be useful seems to be a great stimulus to student learning.

Having provided some personal observations, let me now provide a sample syllabus and make some comment about it.

**SAMPLE SYLLABUS**


Class 1  Organization and Introductory Discussion (end of Chapter 28, “Taxation: a Dynamic Process”)
Class 2  Chapter 4 & 2 — “A Brief History of the United States Income Tax” & “The Tax Base”
Class 3  Chapter 1 & 3 — “Taxation Defined” & “Tax Rates”
Class 4  Chapter 5 & 6 — “Gross Income: General Concepts” & “Deductions: General Concepts”

---

**GRADUATE TAX SYMPOSIUM**

Steven Dilley
and
W. E. Seago

The Touche Ross Foundation sponsored a symposium on graduate tax programs at Virginia Polytechnic Institute, May 8 and 9. The program provided a forum for tax practitioners and educators to discuss what was being done in graduate tax programs. The symposium was to serve as an initial step in the development of model programs, or a common body of knowledge in graduate tax.

There were thirty-four participants — sixteen practicing CPAs, sixteen tax professors, a representative from the AIOPA and a representative from the Tax Executives Institute. All of the CPAs were involved in their firms' professional development programs.

The symposium began with a presentation by Myron Lubell and Barry Broden on the current state of graduate tax programs. They concluded that there are two distinct types of programs (see Table 1). Recognition of the differing objectives of these two types of programs, the group concluded, is essential and it follows that model programs for the two will differ.

Next there was a panel discussion on “The Role of the Firm and the University in Graduate Tax Education.” The panel was chaired by Bill Raby of Touche Ross. An important point brought out in the discussion was the manner in which the firms are integrating the products of the graduate tax programs into their offices. Often the graduates are able to directly enter the tax departments and skip the first one or two levels of training. Thus, there is little overlap between their university and employer-supplied training.

The program then was followed by a series of professor and practitioner panel discussions on individual courses. The courses discussed were the tax research course, the corporations course, the partnerships course, the estate and gift course, and the practice and procedures course.

*(continued on page 6)*
MEMBERS DISCOURAGE OTHERS FROM RE-INVENTING TAX WHEELS

The ATA Case Writing Committee requests the contribution by ATA members of tax cases which they use in their tax courses. It is the Committee's intent to reproduce these cases and make them available to members upon request. Attaching basic points to look for in answers would be extremely helpful. Please send cases and solutions to:

Kevin M. Misiewicz
Department of Accountancy
College of Business Administration
University of Notre Dame
Notre Dame, Indiana 46556

ATTENTION!!

ATTENTION!!
The new journal to be introduced by the American Taxation Association during 1979 is seeking submissions. Please send your articles to:

Fred Streuling
Box 22—153 FOB
Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah 84602

ATA BRIEFS

Al Mitchell, President of ATA, has accepted a position (as of January 1, 1979) with Arthur Young & Co., as Director, National Tax Training. Al's new address is Arthur Young & Co., 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive, Reston, Virginia 22091 . . . Larry Crumley, editor of "Oil & Gas Tax Quarterly," would like to invite ATA members to submit articles to him for publication. For a list of potential topics contact Larry at Department of Accounting, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843 . . . The newsletter is always seeking input from members. If you have an item you would like considered for publication, an announcement, etc., please send it to Ken Milani, 230 Hayes-Healy Center, University of Notre Dame, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556.
PROFILE OF A PROGRAM: DePaul's MST
by Ed Foth, DePaul University

From its earliest years, DePaul University has introduced innovative programs to meet what it has recognized as specialized educational needs. Accordingly, DePaul in 1970 became the first school in the Midwest to offer a program leading to the degree of Master of Science in Taxation. The MST program is designed to produce a person of professional stature in taxation. In its emphasis on planning and decision making as the role of the professional in taxation, it is the purpose of the program to integrate a sound technical competence, an appreciation of the social and governmental aspects of taxation, and an awareness of other relevant functional areas of business and the contributions they make to professional competence.

The MST program consists of twelve courses (48 quarter hours) in two categories: eight courses in taxation and four non-tax courses. Of the eight tax courses, four are required, leaving the student four tax electives for self-development in areas of personal interest. The program covers a wide variety of tax subjects as is evident by the list of courses shown in Table A.

One course, 558—Tax Research, is a prerequisite to the MST program and cannot be counted toward fulfilling the twelve-course degree requirements. However, it may be waived based on experience or prior course work in tax research. Classes meet weekday evenings and Saturday mornings; one three-hour meeting a week for ten weeks. Two courses constitute a full load for part-time students, while some full-time students take three or four courses per quarter. Since approximately fourteen MST courses are offered per quarter, accelerated progress can be made toward a degree.

The MST courses are taught by both full-time faculty and a select group of adjunct faculty who are distinguished practitioners and specialists in particular areas of taxation. Together they bring to the classroom a practical, as well as a theoretical dimension, drawing on their education and varied practice experience as partners and managers in public accounting firms, attorneys, corporate tax directors, and individuals within the Internal Revenue Service.

Although work experience is not a criteria for admission, the MST Program is especially attractive to persons who are currently working in taxation and have already established career objectives for advancement within the tax profession. Approximately 90 percent of the students are employed full-time and attend school on a part-time basis. About 50 percent are CPA’s and some 15 percent have academic degrees beyond the baccalaureate. The typical student is 25 years old and employed in some type of tax capacity. The current enrollment of 311 MST students categorized by employer is summarized in Table B. Applicants for admission to the MST Program must possess a baccalaureate degree from an accredited school. Each applicant is considered on an individual basis and must demonstrate above average academic achievement or ability as indicated by his or her academic record and GMAT scores.

Further information concerning the Master of Science in Taxation Program may be obtained by contacting:
Edward C. Foth, MST Coordinator
Department of Accountancy
DePaul University
25 East Jackson Blvd.
Chicago, Illinois 60604

| Table A — Course Offerings — DePaul MST |
| No. | Course Name |
| 558 | TAX RESEARCH |
| 560T | TAXATION OF CORPORATE SHAREHOLDERS |
| 561T | CORPORATE REORGANIZATIONS |
| 562T | CONSOLIDATED RETURNS |
| 563T | PARTNERSHIPS |
| 564T | TRANSACTIONS IN PROPERTY |
| 565T | TAX ACCOUNTING, PERIODS AND METHODS |
| 566T | FEDERAL INCOME TAX PROCEDURES |
| 567T | INTERNATIONAL ASPECTS OF UNITED STATES TAXATION |
| 568T | TAXATION OF CLOSELY HELD CORPORATIONS |
| 569T | CONCEPTS OF DEFERRED COMPENSATION |
| 570T | CONCEPTS OF STATE AND LOCAL TAXATION |
| 571T | ESTATE AND GIFT TAXATION |
| 573T | INCOME TAXATION OF ESTATES, TRUSTS, AND DECEASED |
| 574T | ESTATE PLANNING |
| 576T | VALUATION CONCEPTS |
| 590T | TAXATION AND NATURAL RESOURCE DEVELOPMENT |
| 591T | TAX EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS |
| 598T | SEMINAR IN CURRENT PROBLEMS IN TAXATION |

| Table B — DePaul Student Body |
| Employer | Percent of Students |
| Public Accounting | 23% |
| Big 8 | 45% |
| Non-Big 8 | 30 |
| Corporations | |
| Government | 10 |
| Full-time students | 5 |
| Financial institutions | 100% |
DOCTORAL RESEARCH IN TAXATION

As a regular feature in the newsletter, we attempt to provide continuous reporting of doctoral dissertations in progress and completed.

"INFLATION AND CORPORATE TAXATION: AN ECONOMIC ANALYSIS" BY LOWELL DWORIN, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

In this study a macroeconomic model is developed and used to estimate the impact upon corporate investment and employment which would have resulted from the adoption of inflation accounting for corporate taxation in 1962. The model is a synthesis of the Hickman-Coen and Gould-Wand models. As in the Hickman-Coen model, a Cobb-Douglas production function is assumed to adjust to the desired values at a constant geometric rate.

Unlike the Hickman-Coen model, in which output and prices are taken to be exogenous variables, expected demand is taken to be a function of expected real GNP and relative product price. However, because the future costs of capital, labor, and materials are assumed to increase with expected inflation, the expected change in demand simply reflects the expected change in real GNP. The parameters of the model are obtained from a simultaneous constrained generalized least squares regression analysis of the percentage change in capital stock and employment using the expected percentage change in real GNP, the current percentage change in the relative cost of capital with respect to the cost of labor, and the expected rate of inflation as independent regressors for a sample of nine major manufacturing firms. The descriptive accuracy of the resulting model exceeds that of the Hickman-Coen model with respect to investment, but is less satisfactory with respect to the percentage change in employment. In large part this is due to the model's inability to predict significant downturns in the economy (particularly in 1967, 1970, and 1975). Because actual output is taken as given in the Hickman-Coen model, this problem does not arise.

The model was rerun assuming that three different methods of inflation accounting had been adopted for tax purposes in 1962—general price level accounting (GLP), replacement cost accounting in which only the straight-line method of depreciation is allowed (RRC), and unrestricted replacement cost accounting (URC). For comparison, the effect of the adoption in 1962 of a 10% investment tax credit on all capital acquisitions was also examined. In each case the reduction in corporate tax liability resulting from the change in tax policy was obtained, and the efficacy of the alternative tax policies (defined as the ratio of the cumulative change in investment to the cumulative decrease in tax revenue) calculated.

Several rather interesting conclusions may be drawn from the analysis. The impact of RRC accounting is seen to be rather perverse. For nearly all years under examination the use of straight-line depreciation provided a negative stimulus to investment which could not be overcome by the use of a depreciable basis which reflects the specific price increase for capital goods. Moreover, even in 1975 when the rate of inflation is sufficiently great so as to produce an increase in investment, the tax revenue loss is also rather high (the efficacy in 1975 being only .11). Although by 1975 the efficacy of GPL and URC accounting are nearly the same (.36 for GPL accounting and .30 for URC accounting), the temporal dependence is rather different for the two methods. Both methods result in roughly the same cumulative increase in investment (approximately 5% of capital stock), but the tax revenue loss is significantly less for GPL accounting than for URC in the first few years after adoption. Thus the efficacy of GPL accounting rises more rapidly and reaches a higher value (2.83 in 1963) than the efficacy of URC accounting (1.01 in 1965); the efficacy slowly decreases thereafter for both methods to the values noted. This behavior is to be contrasted with the effects of a 10% investment tax credit, which results in a somewhat greater increase in investment and a more nearly constant loss in tax revenues. While the efficacy of the 10% investment tax credit never reaches the values obtained in the early years for GPL and URC, the 1975 value of .14 is more than twice that obtained for GPL or URC accounting.

The increased investment resulting from GPL and URC inflation accounting or from a 10% investment tax credit comes at some price in employment, as might be expected in a neoclassical model. For both GPL and URC accounting, the cumulative loss in employment is nearly the same—by 1975 a 14.5% reduction in employment. Not surprisingly, the greater efficacy of the investment tax credit as compared to inflation accounting is accompanied by a greater (32%) reduction in employment by 1975. Because the model is only moderately successful in describing the change in employment, these figures must be regarded as tentative. They do suggest, however, that any policy designed to stimulate investment is likely to do so at the expense of decreased employment by the firms whose cost of capital is thus reduced with respect to the cost of labor.

Members of the American Accounting Association are invited to suggest works for nomination for the AICPA Notable Contributions to Accounting Literature Award. These nominations are restricted to articles published in either regular or irregular periodicals during the calendar year ending December 31, 1978, and books or irregular publications published during the five year calendar years then ending.

The latest date for receiving nominations is March 1, 1979. Please address your suggestions to: Dr. Kenneth S. Most, Chairman, AAA Committee to Nominate Outstanding Contributions to Accounting Literature, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199.
Although there was much disagreement as to the relative importance of many of the topics, there seemed to be unanimous agreement among the practitioners that a graduate tax education program needs a rigorous tax research course. This tax research course should precede other graduate tax courses taken by the student. Also, the practitioners felt that entry level tax people do not have a sufficient background in tax accounting—accounting periods and methods and interperiod allocation of income tax.

Proceedings of the conference are being prepared and will be available to ATA members for a nominal charge. Publication is scheduled for early fall.

An all-day tax seminar on "The Ethical Responsibilities of the CPA in Tax Practice" will be held at the Deauville Hotel, Miami Beach, Florida, on April 26, 1979. (The Southeastern AAA Conference will be at the Deauville Hotel on April 27-29, 1979)

Principal speakers:
- William Raby, National Tax Partner, Touche Ross
- Leslie Shapiro, Director of Practice, U.S. Treasury Department
- Ray Soll, Former Chairman, AICPA Committee on Responsibilities in Tax Practice

Discussants:
- D. Larry Crumley, Barry C. Broden, and other academic members of the ATA

For additional information regarding registration at this seminar, and hotel reservations, write to:

Myron Lubell
Accounting Department — SBOS
Florida International University
Tamiami Campus
Miami, Florida 33199

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type 1</th>
<th>Type 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accreditation: AACSB at graduate level</td>
<td>No AACSB, or only at undergraduate level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Students: Full-time, day</td>
<td>Part-time, evening</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faculty: Full-time, Ph.D., DBA</td>
<td>Part-time, LLM-CPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives: Tax Complement to broad program</td>
<td>Produce tax specialists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Title: MBA, MS-Accounting (with tax concentration)</td>
<td>MS-Taxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment: &lt; 100</td>
<td>&gt; 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location: College town</td>
<td>Large City</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 1 — GRADUATE TAX PROGRAMS**

AMERICAN TAXATION ASSOCIATION
Boyd Randall
School of Accountancy
Brigham Young University
Provo, Utah 84602
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