2014 Auditing Section Midyear Meeting and Doctoral Consortium

JANUARY 16–18, 2014 • SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS

Preliminary Program

Search and/or Browse the Online Program Calendar

**Thursday, January 16, 2014**

7:30 am – 7:00 pm  Doctoral Consortium
  
   Sponsored by KPMG Foundation

10:30 am – 5:00 pm  Meeting Registration

1:00 pm – 6:00 pm  Excellence in Auditing Workshop—Professional Judgment in Auditing: Integrating the Professional Judgment Framework into the Classroom

   Auditing — 5.0 CH

   Presenters: Steven M. Glover, Brigham Young University
   D. Scott Showalter, North Carolina State University

7:00 pm – 8:00 pm  Welcome Reception

**Friday, January 17, 2014**

7:00 am – 3:00 pm  Registration

7:00 am – 8:30 am  Continental Breakfast

8:30 am – 9:45 am  Welcome and Plenary Session
   
   Auditing — 1.0 CH
   
   Speaker: Joe Ucuzoglu, Deloitte LLP

9:45 am – 10:15 am  Break

10:15 am – 11:45 am  Concurrent Sessions

   1.01 Audit Research Panel: Mobilizing Auditing Research That Matters to Policy Makers and Professional Groups: Challenges and Opportunities
This eclectic panel representing multiple stakeholder groups will offer perspectives on generating timely and relevant research questions, with a focus on ways to foster useful and efficient communication between academics and policymakers/professional groups. Academics will discuss challenges associated with conducting theory-driven research that informs policy and practice. Policymaker/professional group representatives will discuss challenges associated with identifying, evaluating, interpreting, and incorporating relevant academic research into their decision-making processes.

**Co-Moderators:** Marsha Keune, University of South Carolina
Tamara A. Lambert, Lehigh University

**Panelists:** Greg Scates, Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
Steve Meisel, PwC/CAQ Research Advisory Board
Jean C. Bedard, Bentley University
Ken T. Trotman, The University of New South Wales

### 1.02 Auditing of Complex Estimates

**Moderator:** Robert Paul Mocadlo, Emory University

*Auditing Complex Estimates: The Presentation Format of Management's Supporting Evidence and Auditors' Professional Skepticism*
Ann Backof, University of Virginia
Jane Miller Thayer, The University of Georgia
Tina Carpenter, The University of Georgia
**Discussant:** Travis Paxton Holt, Auburn University

*Auditor Mindsets and Audits of Complex Estimates*
Emily Elaine Griffith, The University of Georgia
Jacqueline S. Hammersley, The University of Georgia
Kathryn Kadous, Emory University
Donald R. Young, Georgia Institute of Technology
**Discussant:** Pankaj Nagpal, Central Connecticut State University

*Auditing Challenging Fair Value Measurements: Evidence from the Field*
Nathan Hatch Cannon, Texas State University
Jean C. Bedard, Bentley University
**Discussant:** Natalia Kochetova-Kozloski, Saint Mary's University

### 1.03 Local Markets and Auditor Changes

**Moderator:** Barbara Murray Grein, Drexel University

*Should Auditors Be Concerned About Pleasing the Client? An Examination of Auditor Changes Subsequent to an Earnings Revision*
Timothy Andrew Seidel, University of Arkansas
Linda Ann Myers, University of Arkansas
Susan Scholz, The University of Kansas
Jacob Zachary Haislip, University of Arkansas
Discussant: Michelle McAllister, The Florida State University

Transactions Costs and Competition among Auditors in Local Markets
Ling Chu, Wilfrid Laurier University
Dan A. Simunic, The University of British Columbia
Minlei Ye, University of Toronto
Ping Zhang, University of Toronto
Discussant: Aretha Y. Hill, Florida A&M University

The Effects of Local Client Portfolio Characteristics on Auditor Resignation
Thomas David Adams, Temple University
Jagan Krishnan, Temple University
Jayanthi Krishnan, Temple University
Discussant: Chris E. Hogan, Michigan State University

1.04 Financial Institutions and the Financial Crisis
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Jason E. MacGregor, Baylor University

The Financial Crisis and Audit Reporting for Going Concern Uncertainty: Evidence from the Banking Industry
Adi Masli, The University of Kansas
Christine Porter, The University of Kansas
Susan Scholz, The University of Kansas
Discussant: Miguel A. Minutti-Meza, University of Miami

Mortgage-Backed Securities, the Financial Crisis, and External Audit Services: Evidence from the Mutual Fund Industry
Bradley Goldie, The University of Kansas
Lei Li, The University of Kansas
Adi Masli, The University of Kansas
Discussant: Qian Wang, Iowa State University

Do Bank Regulations Influence Banks' Financial Reporting Quality?
Inder K. Khurana, University of Missouri-Columbia
Raynoelde Pereira, University of Missouri-Columbia
Rong Zhong, University of Missouri-Columbia
Discussant: Xiaolu Xu, University of Massachusetts Boston

1.05 Audit Partners and Audit Quality
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Padmakumar Mathrumandiram Sivadasan, Tulane University

Audit Partners' Economic Incentives and Discretionary Accruals
Simon Dekeyser, KU Leuven
Ann Gaeremynck, KU Leuven
Marleen Willekens, KU Leuven
Discussant: Nancy Chun Feng, Suffolk University

Stealth Opinion Shopping? Evidence from Switching of Signing Audit Partners among Chinese Firms
Feng Chen, University of Toronto
Songlan Peng, York University
Shuang Xue, Shanghai University of Finance and Economics
Zhifeng Yang, City University of Hong Kong  
Feiteng Ye, Shanghai Lixin University of Commerce  
Discussant: Carl W. Hollingsworth, Clemson University

An Examination of Audit Quality Associated with New Partners of Continuing Firm Clients in the United States  
Julia L. Higgs, Florida Atlantic University  
Adam Greiner, University of Denver  
Discussant: Anne Margaret Thompson, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

12:00 pm – 1:45 pm  Lunch

2:00 pm – 3:30 pm  Concurrent Sessions

2.01 Center for Audit Quality Panel: Enhancing the Auditor's Reporting Model—What Can We Expect?  
Auditing — 1.5 CH

The PCAOB and the IAASB are both exploring changes to the standard auditor's report. The objective is to make the auditor's report more relevant to investors, while still retaining the pass/fail model. CAQ's Executive Director, Cindy Fornelli, will moderate a lively discussion about the implications of the suggested changes with senior practice leaders who have worked with the Center and the PCAOB on earlier efforts that explored what a revised auditor's report might look like. The profession is conducting field testing to determine how the proposed changes might be operationalized in an audit; the panel will provide insight into the field testing process.

Moderator: Cynthia Fornelli, Center for Audit Quality

Panelists: Sam Ranzilla, KPMG LLP  
Kevin Reilly, EY  
Jay Hanson, PCAOB  
Sharon Virag, AES Corporation

2.02 Auditing Education Panel: Preparing Students for Auditing and the CPA Exam in a World of Diverging Standards  
Auditing — 1.5 CH

With the recent issuance and new CPA exam testing of the AICPA's clarified standards, and the continued issuance of standards by the PCAOB, it is becoming more difficult for instructors to teach—and for students to understand—auditing. This panel will discuss the major recent changes from the AICPA and will focus on 1) what students need to know, 2) how to teach the divergent standards efficiently and effectively, and 3) how practitioners are teaching their staff and dealing with the divergent standards for different clients.

Moderator: Allen D. Blay, The Florida State University

Panelists: Jack Armitage, University of Nebraska  
Michael Glynn, AICPA  
Jay C. Thibodeau, Bentley University
2.03 Professional Skepticism and Fraud Detection

**Auditing — 1.5 CH**

**Moderator:** Heather Lynn Pesch, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

*A Taxonomy to Guide Research on the Application of Data Mining to Fraud Detection in Financial Statement Audits*

Glen L. Gray, California State University, Northridge
Roger S. Debreceny, University of Hawaii at Manoa

*Metaphors and Auditor Professional Judgment: Can Metaphors Activate Professionally Skeptical Mindsets?*

Mary Parlee, Bentley University
Jake Rose, Victoria University of Wellington
Jay C. Thibodeau, Bentley University

*Auditor Creativity in Relation to Recognition of Fraud Cues and Response to Perceived Audit Risk*

Robert M. Cornell, Oklahoma State University
Edward Herron, University of Wisconsin-La Crosse

*The Influence of Mindsets on Professional Skepticism in Auditors*

Jason T. Rasso, Georgia State University

2.04 Corporate Governance, CFOs, and Audit Committees

**Auditing — 1.5 CH**

**Moderator:** Joel Owens, University of South Carolina

*Determinants of Multiple Audit Committee Appointments*

So Yean Kwack, Temple University
Jayanthi Krishnan, Temple University

**Discussant:** Matthew Reidenbach, Pace University

*Do Accountants Make Better Chief Financial Officers?*

Rani Hoitash, Bentley University
Udi Hoitash, Northeastern University
Ahmet Can Kurt, Northeastern University

**Discussant:** Adi Masli, The University of Kansas

*The Effects of Professional and Social Ties between the CEO and Audit Committee on Investor Decision Making*

Jeffrey R. Cohen, Boston College
Lisa Milici Gaynor, University of South Florida
Ganesh Krishnamoorthy, Northeastern University
Arnold Wright, Northeastern University

**Discussant:** Marsha Keune, University of South Carolina

2.05 Audit Complexity, Audit Efficiency, and Audit Fees

**Auditing — 1.5 CH**

**Moderator:** Chris E. Hogan, Michigan State University

*Special Purpose Vehicles and Audit Fees*

Angela Wheeler Spencer, Oklahoma State University
Thomas Zachary Webb, Mississippi State University
Tong Yu, University of Wisconsin-Whitewater
Post-Merger and Acquisition Audit Fees: The Effect of Using a Common Auditor Pre-Merger
Thomas David Adams, Temple University
Jayanthi Krishnan, Temple University

Economies of Scale in Audit Fee Studies: Is the Log Transformation the Best Fit for All Fair Value Assets?
Charles P. Cullinan, Bryant University
Hui Du, University of Houston-Clear Lake
Xiaochuan Zheng, Bryant University

Government Intervention, Audit Fees, and Audit Quality
Zhongwei Huang, ESSEC Business School
Thomas Jeanjean, ESSEC Business School
Like Jiang, ESSEC Business School

3:30 pm – 4:00 pm Break

4:00 pm – 6:00 pm Business Meeting and 20th Meeting Commemoration Reception

Saturday, January 18, 2014
7:00 am – 4:00 pm Registration

7:30 am – 8:30 am Continental Breakfast and Research & Education Forum
Auditing — 1.0 CH

Table 1: Auditor Negotiation

Audit Negotiations: The Effect of Communicating Involvement of the Professional Practice Department and Auditor-Type on Negotiated Outcomes
Carolyn MacTavish, Wilfrid Laurier University

A Case for Mandatory Audit Firm Rotation? An Examination of Bargaining Power during the Terminal Year of the Auditor/Client Relationship
Jagadison K. Aier, George Mason University; Keith Jones, George Mason University; Joseph H. Schroeder, Indiana University Bloomington

Auditors' and Clients' Bargaining Power in Light of the Current Debate on Audit Market Regulation
Nicole V.S. Ratzinger-Sakel, Universitat Ulm; Annette G. Koehler, University Duisburg Essen

Table 2: Social and Macroeconomic Issues in Auditing

Auditors' Expertise and Social Capital
Pietro Andrea Bianchi, IE University; Marco Trombetta, Instituto De Empresa

Mindfulness as an Audit Tool: A Conceptual View and Advocacy for a Better Auditor Mind
Yu-Tzu Chang, University of Kentucky; Dan Stone, University of Kentucky

Auditors and Corporate Governance: A Qualitative Study in Polish Public Companies
Dorota Dobija, Kozminski University; Iwona Cieslak, Kozminski University

Games, Gadgets, and Other Goods’ Discount Coupon: An Ethics Case
Magdy S. Farag, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona

Table 3: Going Concern Opinions and Financially Distressed Firms

Credit Ratings and the Auditor’s Going-Concern Opinion Decision
Nadine Funcke, University Maastricht

Institutional Flight and Market Responses to Going Concern Audit Reports
Steve Kaplan, Arizona State University; Michael Mowchan, Arizona State University; Eric Weisbrod, University of Miami

Going-Concern Opinions, Corporate Control, Executive Compensation and Management Turnover
Bill Francis, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute; Ning Ren, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute; Yinhong Zhang, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute; Yun Zhu, Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute

Auditing Financially Distressed Firms: Evidence from Germany
Joerg R. Werner, Frankfurt School of Finance & Management; Hanno Dachwitz, Frankfurt School of Finance & Management

Table 4: Internal Client Characteristics and Audit Planning

The Effect of IT on Tax-Related Material Weaknesses and Tax Planning
Vernon J. Richardson, University of Arkansas; Jaclyn Prentice, University of Arkansas; Sabrina Chi, University of Arkansas

Do Client Firms’ IT Asset Portfolios Increase Audit Risks?
Zabihollah Rezaee, The University of Memphis; Shipeng Han, The University of Memphis; Joseph H. Zhang, The University of Memphis

External Auditors’ Involvement in the Internal Audit Function Work Plan and Subsequent Reliance Before and After a Negative Audit Discovery
Renee Olvera, Texas Christian University; Kasey A. Martin, Texas State University-San Marcos; Byron John Pike, Minnesota State University-Mankato; Lawrence Chui, University of St. Thomas-Minneapolis

Table 5: Effects of Auditor Transitions

An Empirical Study of Chief Audit Executive Turnover: Evidence from Taiwanese Public Companies
Rajiv D. Banker, Temple University; Fang-Chun Liu, Stevens Institute of Technology; Chin-Shyh Ou, National Chung Cheng University

Potential Outcomes of Mandatory Audit Firm Rotation in the US Audit Market
Han-Up Park, Temple University

How Steep is the Audit Learning Curve? Evidence on the Effects of the Timing of Auditor Changes on Audit Quality
James C. Hansen, Weber State University; Cory Alan Cassell, University of Arkansas; Linda Ann Myers, University of Arkansas; Timothy Andrew Seidel, University of Arkansas

The Relationship between SEC Comment Letters and Subsequent Auditor Change
David N. Hurtt, Baylor University; Jane Baldwin, Baylor University; Jason E. MacGregor, Baylor University

Table 6: Business Structure and Audit Fees

Market Segmentation and Premium Pricing in the Post-Sarbanes-Oxley Market for Audit Services
Alexey Lyubimov, Concordia University

Auditing Related Party Transactions: Evidence from Audit Fees and Audit Opinions
Yinqi Zhang, American University; Yuping Zhao, University of Houston; Junxiong Fang, Fudan University

Table 7: Effects of Auditor Oversight

Problem Directors and Audit Fees
Ahsan Habib, Auckland University of Technology; Asheq R. Rahman, Massey University; Md. Borhan Uddin Bhuiyan, Massey University-Auckland

The Audit Committee Oversight Process of the External Audit: Auditor Selection and Monitoring
Heidi Vander Bauwhede, Universiteit Gent; Ann Vanstraelen, Universiteit Maastricht; Caren Schelleman, Maastricht University; Rogier Deumes, Maastricht University

Do Jurors Perceive a Differential Audit Quality Based on the Auditor's Decision Justification Method?
Erik S. Boyle, The University of Utah

Table 8: Auditing Effects on Reporting Delays/Errors

Leveraging Strategies in the Post-SOX Era: Audit Revenue and Restatement Effects
Anna M. Cianci, Wake Forest University; Barbara Murray Grein, Drexel University

The Impact of Large Accelerated Filers’ Requirements on Audit Report Lags
Magdy S. Farag, California State Polytechnic University, Pomona
Do Both SOX 404 and AS5 Reduce ICFR Disclosure Errors?
Hsien-Lian Chiu, National Chengchi University; Ling-Tai Lynette Chou, National Chengchi University

Table 9: Auditing ICFR

The Value of a Material Weakness Remediation Audit in the Context of Auditing Standard No. 4
Sanaz Aghazadeh, Lehigh University; Marietta Peytcheva, Lehigh University

Auditor Attributes and Timely Reporting of SOX 404 Material Control Weaknesses
Divya Anantharaman, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark; Nader Wans, Memorial University of Newfoundland

Mandatory Internal Control Auditing and Financial Restatements—Evidence from Chinese-SOX
Liyan Wang, Peking University; Qian Xu, Peking University; Jianguang Zeng, Southwestern University of Finance and Economics

Disclosing Material Weakness in Internal Controls: Does the Gender of the CFO and Audit Committee Members Matter?
Mai Dao, The University of Toledo; Robert James Parker, University of New Orleans; Hua-Wei Huang, National Cheng Kung University; Yun-Chia Yan, The University of Texas at Brownsville

Table 10: Auditing and Financial Reporting Quality

Primitive Audit Inputs and Audit Quality: An Empirical Analysis of PCAOB Partner and Staff Counts
Steve Buchheit, Texas Tech University; William L. Buslepp, Texas Tech University

Firm Type, Scope of Services, and Financial Reporting Reliability: Evidence From Non-Big 4 CPA Firms
Reginald Wilson, Southern University

Auditors and Disclosure Quality: The Case of Major Customer Disclosures
Kenneth J. Reichelt, Louisiana State University; John Daniel Eshleman, Louisiana State University; Joseph Legoria, Louisiana State University

Why Do Restatements Decrease in a Clawback Environment? An Investigation into Financial Reporting Executives' Decision-Making during the Restatement Process
Jonathan Pyzoha, Case Western Reserve University

Table 11: Personal Biases in Accounting

Situational Professional Skepticism and Non-Diagnostic Interpersonal Affect: Experimental Evidence
Cardamine Carmen Olsen, Norwegian School of Economics
Witnessing Your Own Cognitive Bias: A Compendium of Classroom Exercises
Norma Ramirez Montague, Wake Forest University; Rebecca Fay, East Carolina University

Effect of Financial Crisis on Auditor Conservatism
Joseph D. Beams, University of New Orleans; Yun-Chia Yan, The University of Texas at Brownsville

An Examination of SOX 404(b) Exemption Effects on Auditor Changes for Non-Accelerated Filers
Benjamin Hoffman, Kent State University; Albert L. Nagy, John Carroll University

Table 12: Job-Specific Auditing Issues

Accounting Outsourcing and Audit Lag
Charles P. Cullinan, Bryant University; Xiaochuan Zheng, Bryant University

How Do Audit Internships Influence the Commitment and Turnover Intentions of Public Accounting New-Hires?
William R. Pasewark, Texas Tech University; Joleen Kremin, Texas Tech University; Matthew T. Hart, Texas Tech University

Profit Sharing and Performance Incentives in Auditing Partnerships
Paul J. Coram, The University of Melbourne

Audit Quality and Specialist Tenure
Jennifer J. Gaver, The University of Georgia; Steve Utke, The University of Georgia

What Factors Influence the Internal Audit Function and Its Role in Corporate Governance?
Marc Eulerich, University Duisburg-Essen; Sarah Zipfel, University Duisburg-Essen

Table 13: Auditor Pricing Studies

An Examination of the Relationship between Managerial Ability and Audit Fees
W. Mark Wilder, The University of Mississippi; Ryan Seay, The University of Mississippi

CEO Turnover and Audit Pricing
Robert James Parker, University of New Orleans; Hua-Wei Huang, National Cheng Kung University; Yi-Hung Lin, National Cheng Kung University; Yun-Chia Yan, The University of Texas at Brownsville

Auditor Selection and Audit Pricing in Mergers and Acquisitions
Ning Zhang, Duke University; Nan Zhou, Binghamton University, SUNY

Determinants of Section 404 Fees Paid to the External Auditor
Theresa Presley, Kansas State University; Susan Parker, Santa Clara University; Lawrence Abbott, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee;
Gary Peters, University of Arkansas

**Table 14: Banking and Audit Issues**

*Auditors' Influence on Transfers of Fair-Valued Instruments Into and Out of Level 3 Classification*
Adrian Valencia, Florida Gulf Coast University; Thomas Joseph Smith, Florida Atlantic University; Mark Kohlbeck, Florida Atlantic University

*Overlapping Internal Control Regulation in the Banking Industry: A Study of SOX in the Post-FDICIA Era*
Sophie Li Liang, Temple University; Jayanthi Krishnan, Temple University

**Table 15: Auditing Pot Pourri**

*Evidence of Indirect Effects of Mentoring on Accountants’ Job Performance and Turnover Intentions*
David H. Sinason, Northern Illinois University; Rebekah Annette Heath, Middle Tennessee State University; Timothy J. Fogarty, Case Western Reserve University; Alan Reinstein, Wayne State University

*Examining How Auditing Text Books Cover the AICPA’S Conceptual Framework for Ethics*
Martin A. Leibowitz, Yeshiva University; Alan Reinstein, Wayne State University

*Audit Reviewers' Inventory Write-Down Recommendations: Effects of Client and Subordinate Competence*
Mohammad J. Abdolmohammadi, Bentley University; Nathan Hatch Cannon, Texas State University; Alan Reinstein, Wayne State University

*Religion and Fences: A Look to the Past for a Solution Now*
Eileen Z. Taylor, North Carolina State University; Alan Reinstein, Wayne State University

*Using Fuzzy Set Theory to Help Resolve Governmental Hospitals' Health Care Decision Conflicts*
Mohamed Bayou, University of Michigan-Dearborn; Xinyu Du, Igate Patni Americas; Alan Reinstein, Wayne State University

*How Does Industry Clustering Impact the Audit Market?*
Neal Andrew Vanden Berg, Temple University

*Auditor Salaries and Client Audit Fees: A Location Based Analysis*
Neal Andrew Vanden Berg, Temple University

*Trends in the Market for Audit Services: Big-4 "Cherry Picking" or Non- Big-4 Market Power?*
Bharat Sarath, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark; Hua Xin, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark

*Religiosity, Financial Reporting, and Audit Fees*
Hua Xin, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark; Bikki Jaggi, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Newark
Table 16: Fraud

The Client’s View of Auditor Skepticism: Surveys of Client-Auditor Dyads in Germany
Carol Springer Sargent, Macon State College; Ewald Aschauer, Vienna University of Economics and Business

Evaluating the Intentionality of Identified Misstatements: How Perspective Can Help Auditors in Distinguishing Errors from Fraud
Erin L. Hamilton, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

Why Are Auditors Blamed When an Accounting Fraud Is Unveiled? Experimental Evidence
Florian Hoos, HEC Paris; Cedric Lesage, HEC Paris; Emna Ben Saad, ISCCB University of Tunis Carthage

8:30 am – 9:45 am  Plenary Session
Auditing — 1.0 CH
Speaker: Daniel Simons, University of Illinois
Speaker sponsored by EY

9:45 am – 10:15 am  Break

10:15 am – 11:45 am  Concurrent Sessions

3.01 PCAOB Inspections and Remediation Panel
Auditing — 1.5 CH

The PCAOB has been conducting inspections of registered firms for ten years. In 2012, the Board established several near term priorities that included efforts around timeliness and content of inspection reports, timeliness of remediation determinations, providing information about the PCAOB’s remediation determination process, and analysis of inspection findings. The panel will discuss the inspection and remediation process, firms’ root-cause analysis of systemic quality control issues and the recently issued PCAOB staff guidance concerning the remediation process. The panel will also discuss the public reporting of inspection and remediation information—namely, individual firm and general purpose inspection reports and the 2012 Board release with information for audit committees about the inspection process. In addition the panel will cover the PCAOB’s project on audit quality indicators, which is closely related to the inspection and remediation processes and the public reporting of inspection results.

Moderator: Roger Martin, University of Virginia

Panelists: Jay Hanson, PCAOB Board Member
Randy Fletchall, EY partner (retired)
Zoe-Vonna Palmrose, University of Washington

3.02 Auditors Use of Specialists
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Brett A. Rixom, Florida International University

Usage of Forensic Professionals in the Audit Process at the Big 4: Evidence from the Field
James Greg Jenkins, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Eric Negangard, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Mitchell J. Oler, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

When the World Isn't Always Flat: The Impact of Psychological Distance on Auditors’ Reliance on Specialists
Martin Michael Weisner, University of Central Florida
Steve G. Sutton, University of Central Florida

The Role of Experience with Type of Fraud and Fee Pressure in Auditors' Decisions to Involve Forensic Specialists in Audit Engagements
Dominyka Sakalauskaite, Copenhagen Business School
Iris Stuart, Norwegian School of Economics

How Do Auditors Use Valuation Specialists When Auditing Fair Values?
Emily Elaine Griffith, The University of Georgia

3.03 Audit Risk, Auditor Discipline, and Audit Pricing
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Will Ciconte, University of Florida

Does Net Insider Selling Affect Auditors’ Risk Assessments? Evidence from Audit Pricing
Caroline Burke, University of Arkansas
Cory Alan Cassell, University of Arkansas
Sabrina Chi, University of Arkansas
Linda Ann Myers, University of Arkansas
Discussant: Bradley Lawson, Oklahoma State University

Do Analysts Contribute to Auditor Discipline? Evidence from a Natural Experiment
Ti Gu, The University of British Columbia
Discussant: Joerg R. Werner, Frankfurt School of Finance & Management

Debt Covenant Violations and Associations with Viable Auditor Responses
Gopal V. Krishnan, American University
Lori B. Shefchik, Georgia Institute of Technology
Wei Yu, The University of Tennessee–Knoxville
Discussant: Allen D. Blay, The Florida State University

3.04 Going Concern, Auditor Independence, and Investor Reactions
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Adam Micah Vitalis, Georgia Institute of Technology

Major Customer Reliance and Auditor Going-Concern Decisions
Investor Sentiment and Going Concern Audit Opinions
Chan Li, University of Pittsburgh
Adi Masli, The University of Kansas
Qian Xu, Peking University
Yang Xu, University of Colorado at Colorado Springs
Discussant: Andrew John Imdieke, Michigan State University

Interpreting Auditors' Going Concern Opinions: Who Knows Who Is Going Bankrupt?
Jonathan Shipman, The University of Tennessee-Knoxville
Quinn Thomas Swanquist, The University of Tennessee-Knoxville
Robert Lowell Whited, The University of Tennessee-Knoxville
Discussant: Nathan R. Berglund, Oklahoma State University

12:00 pm – 1:30 pm  Lunch

1:45 pm – 3:15 pm  Concurrent Sessions

4.01 Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission Panel Session: The Revised COSO Internal Control Integrated Framework: Challenges and Opportunities
Auditings — 1.5 CH
The revised COSO Framework has introduced significant changes from the 1992 version of the guidance. The panel will discuss the development of the revised version, including the major changes, issues in implementing the new framework, and implications for integrated audits under PCAOB Standards. Finally, the panel will discuss potential research related to the new framework.

Moderator: Sandra Shelton, DePaul University

Panelists: Sandra Richtermeyer, Xavier University
David Landsittel, Former Chairman, COSO and Audit Committee Chair, Molex, Incorporated
David Soske, Partner, PwC

4.02 Auditor Impact on Investor Decisions
Auditings — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Ken T. Trotman, The University of New South Wales

Understanding Audit Quality: Insights from Audit Partners and Investors
Brant Erich Christensen, Texas A&M University
Steven M. Glover, Brigham Young University
Thomas C. Omer, Texas A&M University
Marjorie K. Shelley, Texas A&M University
The Informational Value of Emphasis of Matter Paragraphs and Auditor Commentaries: Evidence from an Eye-Tracking Study
Louis-Philippe Sirois, HEC Montréal
Jean Bédard, Université Laval
Palash Bera, Saint Louis University

The Differential Effects of Management and Auditor Disclosures of Estimation Uncertainty on Nonprofessional Investor Judgments
Norma Ramirez Montague, Wake Forest University
Andrea Seaton Kelton, Wake Forest University

Do Critical Audit Matter Paragraphs in the Audit Report Change Nonprofessional Investors’ Decision to Invest?
Brant Erich Christensen, Texas A&M University
Steven M. Glover, Brigham Young University
Christopher J. Wolfe, Texas A&M University

4.03 Economic Bonding, Client Size, and Non-Audit Fees
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Scott Nathan Bronson, The University of Kansas

The Impact of Economic Bonding on Audit Quality: Evidence from Audit Working Papers
Joost P. van Buuren, Nyenrode Business University
Barbara Majoor, Nyenrode Business University
Leen Paape, Nyenrode Business University
Arnold Wright, Northeastern University

Do Auditor-Provided Tax Services Impair Independence or Generate Knowledge Spillover? Evidence from Assessing Tax Accrual Quality
Robert Pawlewicz, George Mason University
Allison Koester, Georgetown University
Preeti Choudhary, Georgetown University

Political Contributions and the Auditor-Client Relationship
Dana Marie Wallace, University of Central Florida
Frank Heflin, The Florida State University

Board Interlocks and the Purchase of Non-Audit Services from the Auditor
Linna Shi, Binghamton University, SUNY
Siew Hong Teoh, University of California, Irvine
Jian Zhou, University of Hawaii at Manoa

4.04 Audit Reports
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Michael Edward Bitter, Stetson University

It’s a Small World: The Influence of Home-State Bias on Auditor Going-Concern Reporting
Allen D. Blay, The Florida State University
James Robert Moon, The Florida State University
Jeffrey S. Paterson, The Florida State University

Government Contracting and the Continuation as a Going Concern
Qing Liao Burke, Miami University
Amanda Convery, University of Wisconsin-Madison
Hollis Ashbaugh Skaife, University of Wisconsin-Madison
Discussant: Keith Czerney, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

The Effects of an EOM in the Auditor Report on Management Reporting Behavior and Informative Value: The Case of Going-Concern Disclosures
Jean Bédard, Université Laval
Carl Brousseau, Université Laval
Ann Vanstraelen, Maastricht University
Discussant: Jaime Schmidt, The University of Texas at Austin

3:15 pm – 3:45 pm Break

3:45 pm – 5:15 pm Concurrent Sessions

5.01 Auditor Response to Audit Regulation
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Michael Martel, Florida Atlantic University

For Better or Worse: A Study of Auditors' Practices under Auditing Standard No. 7
Denise Dickins, East Carolina University
Rebecca Fay, East Carolina University
Brian E. Daugherty, University of Wisconsin-Milwaukee
Discussant: Tim David Bauer, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

When Less Is More: The Effect of Reducing Auditor Liability on Auditor Judgments in Principles-Based and Rules-Based Environments
Linda Quick, East Carolina University
Discussant: Ed O'Donnell, Southern Illinois University Carbondale

Auditing the Auditors: An International Analysis of the Effectiveness of National Inspection Regimes on Audit Quality
Elizabeth Carson, The University of New South Wales
Roger Simnett, The University of New South Wales
Ann Vanstraelen, Maastricht University
Discussant: Cassandra Ruth Estep, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

5.02 Auditor Judgment and Decision-Making
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Ruwan Adikaram, Florida Atlantic University

Internal Auditor Objectivity: The Effect of Reporting Line and Management Training Ground
Florian Hoos, HEC Paris
William F. Messier, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Jason L. Smith, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Paulette R. Tandy, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Discussant: Elizabeth Altiero, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Real Earnings Management: The Auditor’s Perspective
Benjamin Paul Commerford, The University of Alabama
Dana R. Hermanson, Kennesaw State University
Richard W. Houston, The University of Alabama
Michael Francis Peters, Villanova University
Discussant: Penelope Lee Bagley, Appalachian State University

The Interactive Effect of Ego Depletion and Skepticism on Auditor Performance: Why There Can Be Too Much of a Good Thing
Tracie Majors, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign
Lori B. Shefchik, Georgia Institute of Technology
Adam Micah Vitalis, Georgia Institute of Technology
Discussant: Curtis Mullis, The University of Alabama

5.03 Internal Controls
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Josette Pelzer, The Florida State University

Do Annual Internal Control Audits and Management Assessments Impact Quarterly Financial Reporting Quality?
Joseph H. Schroeder, Indiana University Bloomington
Marcy Lynn Shepardson, Indiana University Bloomington
Discussant: Renee Flasher, Ball State University

The Effect of Discontinued Internal Control Audit on Small Companies’ Financial Reporting Quality
Jagan Krishnan, Temple University
Jayanthi Krishnan, Temple University
Sophie Li Liang, Temple University
Discussant: Padmakumar Mathrumanandiram Sivadasan, Tulane University

Privacy Disclosure and Auditing: An Exploratory Study
David C. Hay, University of Auckland
Penica Cortez, University of Auckland
Discussant: Michael Mowchan, Arizona State University

5.04 Auditor Industry Specialization and Concentration
Auditing — 1.5 CH
Moderator: Christine Gimbar, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University

Do Industry Specialist Auditors Enforce More Conservative Recognition of Long-Lived Asset Impairments?
Sarah E. Stein, Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University
Discussant: Leah Elena Muriel, Oklahoma State University

The Effect of Local Government GAAP Regulation on Audit Market Concentration, Auditor Specialization, and Audit Fees
Alfred Yebba, Syracuse University
Randal J. Elder, Syracuse University
Discussant: Margaret Hyun-Mee Kim, Arizona State University

Public Accounting Firm Associations, Networks, and Alliances: Equipping Small Audit Firms with Big Resources
5:30 pm – 6:30 pm  Reception
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