

American Accounting Association Annual Report *Accounting Historians Journal*

For the Year Ending December 31, 2024

I. INTRODUCTION

The *Accounting Historians Journal* (AHJ) is an international journal that addresses the development of accounting thought and practice. AHJ embraces all subject matter related to accounting history, including, but not limited to, research that provides a historical perspective on contemporary accounting issues.

This annual report, which documents the activities of AHJ for calendar year 2024, presents information about the performance of its journals in a concise and consistent manner that aligns with changing industry standards. In particular, this report updates readers on submission and decision information, new initiatives, policy changes, and modifications to the journal's editing team, as well as expressing our gratitude to *ad hoc* editors and reviewers. It also directs interested readers to find more detailed information about the journal, including submission directions and manuscript processing times, on its expanded website: <https://aaahq.org/Research/Journals/Accounting-Historians-Journal>.

II. COMMENTARY BY THE SENIOR EDITOR

2024 was another successful year for *Accounting Historians Journal*, as we published 15 articles from authors located around the world, including many familiar names and some new scholars as contributors to the literature of accounting history. We were pleased to issue our first Call for Papers for a special section on Research Methodology in Accounting History, and have received numerous submissions in response to that call. AHJ appearances at international conferences in Italy, Portugal, and the United Kingdom continue to bear fruit, perhaps further encouraged by our 2023 upgrade in scholarly rating by the Italian National Agency for the Evaluation of the University and Research Systems and our additional SCOPUS classification as a History publication. During 2024 five individuals contributed services as Associate Editors, helping us to manage our robust pipeline of articles in the review process, and we thank them for their efforts. Our average turnaround time for decisions in 2024 was 45 days, with time to first decision averaging 75 days. Looking forward to 2025 and beyond is exciting for us because we are building from a strong base established in 2024 and previous years.

—William H. Black, University of North Georgia

III. EDITORIAL PROCESS

AAA staff and their editorial partners perform an initial quality control (QC) check of new submissions to the journal to ensure the manuscript files are prepared in accordance with AAA guidelines. Successful submissions are forwarded to the senior editor, who also performs an initial screening, this time focusing on the paper's subject and methods. Papers that do not meet the journal's mission and scope are desk rejected. Otherwise, the senior editor assigns the paper to an editor for review. Based on the topic of the research and the research methodology, the editor selects the reviewers. The reviewers provide detailed evaluations about each paper's strengths and weaknesses as well as the publication recommendation. A "double blind" review process is followed, so the author(s) remain anonymous to the reviewers and *vice versa*. The editor then evaluates the reviews and makes an editorial decision based on the reviews and their own consideration of the paper. The paper may then be rejected, conditionally accepted, or sent back to the author, with the editor requesting that they revise the manuscript according to the evaluation of the reviewers and/or editor and then resubmit. The paper repeats this process until a final decision is reached.

Other submission policies, such as our conflict of interest and human subject research policies, can be found on the journal website: <https://aaahq.org/Research/Journals/Accounting-Historians-Journal>.

IV. EDITORIAL AND PUBLICATION STATISTICS

Annual Activity

Table 1 reports annual manuscript activity for calendar year 2024. Column (a) reports the number of manuscripts that began the year in-process, which means a manuscript that may be (1) a new submission that has not been assigned to an editor or reviewers, (2) waiting for one or more reviewers to submit their report, (3) waiting for the editor to write the decision letter, or (4) waiting for the

senior editor to release the decision letter. Once the editor’s decision letter is sent to the authors, the manuscript is no longer considered to be “in process.” Column (f) reports the number of in-process manuscripts at the end of each year.

- Column (b) of Table 1 reports the number of new submissions by year.
- Column (c) of Table 1 reports the number of revised manuscripts resubmitted each year.
- Column (d) reports the number of manuscripts available for evaluation during 2024, which is equal to the sum of columns (a) through (c) (i.e., manuscripts in process at the beginning of the year, plus new submissions, plus resubmissions).
- Column (e) of Table 1 reports the number of decision letters issued each year. These numbers include first-round rejections, subsequent round rejections, invitations to revise and resubmit, and conditional and final acceptances.

The decision letters in column (e) include 1 desk rejection in 2024, which equals 3 percent of the 29 new submissions.

TABLE 1
Annual Activity Summary—For the Calendar Year

Year	Manuscripts In-Process, Beginning of Year (a)	New Submissions Received (b)	Resubmissions Received (c)	Manuscripts Available for Evaluation (a) + (b) + (c) = (d)	Decision Letters Sent (e)	Manuscripts In-Process, End of Year (d) – (e) = (f)
2024	29	29	54	112	75	37

Acceptance/Rejection Rate

Table 2 provides information on the journal’s acceptance and rejection rates by analyzing the decision outcomes for submission cohorts in the past five years. Column (a) presents the number of submissions each year, which is the same as column (b) in Table 1. Columns (b) through (g) partition each year’s cohort based on outcomes as of the end of 2024. Specifically, for each cohort year:

- Columns (b) and (c) report the number and percentage of submissions that have been rejected;
- Columns (d) and (e) present the number and percentage of submissions for which no final decision has been made; and
- Columns (f) and (g) present the number and percentage of submissions that have been accepted, respectively.

Thus, this table reveals the ultimate outcome of each year’s cohort of new submissions. However, the final acceptance rate for any given cohort is not available until all submissions in that year have been processed, which typically takes a few years.

TABLE 2
Annual Outcome Summary—By Calendar Year Cohort

Year	New Submissions Received (a)	Number of Rejections (b)	Percentage of Rejections (c) = (b)/(a)	Number of Papers in Process (d)	Percentage in Process (e) = (d)/(a)	Number of Acceptances (f)	Percentage of Acceptances (g) = (f)/(a)
2024	29	6	21%	14	48%	9	31%
2023	36	10	28%	2	6%	24	67%
2022	27	15	56%	0	0%	12	44%
2021	28	11	39%	1	4%	16	50%
2020	28	15	54%	0	0%	13	46%

V. CONCLUSION AND NOTES OF THANKS AND RECOGNITION

We appreciate the service of the many colleagues who act as *ad hoc* reviewers, listed in Appendix A, and generously share their insight and expertise to help evaluate and improve submissions. We are also beholden to our Editorial Board members, who are listed on the journal website, and whose expert advice forms the backbone upon which the journal is built, and the foundation for our evaluations.

APPENDIX A

Ad Hoc Editors

John D. Keyser, Case Western Reserve University

Ad Hoc Reviewers

David Alexander, University of Birmingham
Elizabeth Dreike Almer, Portland State University
Frank Badua, McMurry University
Michael Doron, California State University, Northridge
Randal J. Elder, University of North Carolina Greensboro
Stephan A. Fafatas, Washington and Lee University
LaToya Flint, The University of Mississippi
Craig Foltin, Cleveland State University
Rita W. Green, The University of Memphis
Mark P. Holtzman, Seton Hall University
John D. Keyser, Case Western Reserve University
Michelle Kusaila, Central Connecticut State University
Mikhail Kuter, Kuban State University
Markus Lampe, Vienna University of Economics and Business
Yvette Lazdowski, University of New Hampshire
Ellen Lippman, University of Portland
Carmelo Marisca, University of Messina
Karen McBride, University of Portsmouth
J. Conrad Naegle, Jr., Missouri State University
Antonio Prencipe, University of Teramo
Vaughan S. Radcliffe, University of Western Ontario
Diane H. Roberts, University of San Francisco
Adriana Rodrigues, Silva Instituto Politecnico de Santarem
Marina Sidorova, Financial University
Amélia Cristina Ferreira Silva, Instituto Superior de Contabilidade e Administração do Porto
Joshua Simer, The University of Mississippi
Daniel P. Tinkelman, Brooklyn College–CUNY
Kimberly Tribou, College of Charleston
Mohini Vidwans, Lincoln University
Rob Vosslamber, University of Canterbury
Shan Wang, Loyola Marymount University
Ann L. Watkins, Texas State University
Barbara S. White, University of West Florida
Alex Young, Hofstra University